

## REMARKS


This amendment is submitted for purposes of correcting the basis for a claim objection and a rejection due to antecedent basis for a term to thus put the instant application in better condition for appeal.

In the final rejection claims 11 - 13 were objected to as depending from a canceled claim, namely, claim 10. Applicant hereinabove has amended claim 11 to depend from claim 1. Claim 12 has been amended to depend from claim 11. Thus it is submitted that the objection regarding claims 11 - 13 is overcome.

Claims 15 - 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 because the phrase "said second joint member" in line 11 lacks a direct antecedent and therefore renders the claim vague and indefinite. Claim 15 has been amended at line 3 to substitute "members" for "surfaces" to thus provide direct antecedent basis for the phrase referenced. Accordingly, it is submitted that this rejection of claims 15 - 21 is overcome.

Entry of the above amendments to overcome the above objection and rejection to place this application in better condition for appeal is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Phillip L. Kenner, Reg. No. 22,353  
Renner, Kenner, Grieve, Bobak, Taylor & Weber  
First National Tower - Fourth Floor  
Akron, Ohio 44308-1456  
Telephone: (330) 376-1242  
Facsimile: (330) 376-9646

Attorney for Applicant

Dated: June 14, 2005